

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
WHITE PLAINS

-----X

In re:

BUHRE BEVERAGE DISTRIBUTION, INC.,

Chapter 11

Case No. 14-22048-rdd

Debtor.

-----X

WILLIAM SANCHEZ,

Plaintiff,

Adv. Pro. No.: 14-08218-rdd

-against-

BUHRE BEVERAGE DISTRIBUTION, INC.,
BHAVEEN SAPRA, BRUCKNER BEVERAGE, INC.
and PEPSI-COLA BOTTLING COMPANY OF NEW
YORK, INC.,

Defendants.

-----X

AFFIRMATION IN SUPPORT OF MOTION

MICHAEL G. Mc AULIFFE, ESQ., an attorney duly admitted to the practice of law in the State of New York, hereby affirms the following to be true under the penalties of perjury:

INTRODUCTION

1. I am the principal attorney of the Law Offices of Michael G. Mc Auliffe, counsel for William Sanchez ("Sanchez"), and I am fully familiar with the facts and circumstances herein.
2. I make this Affirmation in support of the Motion of Sanchez (the "Sanchez Motion") seeking the entry of an Order: (a) restraining and enjoining Pepsi-Cola Bottling Company of New York, Inc. ("Pepsi") and/or any of its agents from actively and/or constructively terminating the Debtor's and/or Sanchez's rights under the existing distributor agreement (the "Buhre Distributor

Agreement”) between Pepsi, Buhre Beverage Distribution, Inc. (alternatively, “Buhre” and the “Debtor”) and Sanchez; (a) directing Pepsi to immediately restore control and operation of the certain Pepsi distribution route that covered certain territory in the Bronx, New York (the “Buhre Pepsi Route”) that is the subject of the Buhre Distributor Agreement, to the Debtor under the normal and ordinary terms thereof; and (c) for such other and further relief as this Court deems just and equitable under the circumstances.

3. As set forth in the accompanying Affirmation of Anne Penachio, Esq. (the “Panachio Affirmation”), the Debtor is joining in the request for the relief sought in the Sanchez Motion.

4. For the reasons fully set forth below, it is respectfully submitted that the relief requested in the Sanchez Motion should be granted in its entirety. A true and complete accounting of the relevant facts and circumstances herein are set forth in the accompanying affidavit of Sanchez (the “Sanchez Affidavit”, a copy of which is annexed hereto at Exhibit “A”), and are reiterated below.

BACKGROUND FACTS

5. Since 1995, Sanchez has been the owner/operator of various soda distribution routes of Pepsi. At all times prior to December 2012, Sanchez was the 100% owner of Buhre, and at all such times, Buhre was the owner of the Buhre Pepsi Route, together with two delivery trucks, and other miscellaneous assets. Buhre’s sole function is the operation of the Buhre Pepsi Route.

6. In or about September 2012, Sanchez decided to sell the Pepsi route that he owned and operated through Buhre. Ultimately, it was determined that Sanchez would sell his shares of stock in Buhre (and thereby its assets, including the Buhre Pepsi Route), to Bhaveen

Sapra (“B. Sapra”).

7. To that end, on July 31, 2014, the parties executed certain documents for the purpose of transferring the shares of Buhre from Sanchez to Bruckner Beverage Inc.

(“Bruckner”, a corporation wholly owned by B. Sapra).

8. Thereafter, B. Sapra owned and operated Buhre, and Sanchez provided guidance in accordance with the terms of the transfer documents executed by the parties.

9. In or around November 2013, Buhre began to default on certain of its obligations, and, as a result, on January 13, 2014 (the “Filing Date”), Buhre sought to reorganize by filing a voluntary petition for relief under chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code, 11 U.S.C. Section 101-et seq (the “Bankruptcy Code”).

10. On April 4, 2014, Sanchez filed an adversary proceeding with this court entitled “*William Sanchez v. Buhre Beverage Distribution, Inc., Bhaveen Sapra, Bruckner Beverage, Inc. and Pepsi-cola Bottling Company of New York, Inc.*” bearing adversary proceeding number 14-08218 (the “Sanchez Adversary”).

11. The Sanchez Adversary asserts three Claims for Relief, being:

First Claim for Relief - pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §105(a). reforming certain documents executed in conjunction with the July 31, 2013 sale by Sanchez of the shares of stock in Buhre to conform with the intent of the parties to said transaction;

Second Claim for Relief - restraining and enjoining Pepsi and/or any of their agents from actively or constructively terminating the Debtor’s and/or Sanchez’s rights under the Buhre Distributor Agreement, and thereby the Buhre Pepsi Route;

Third Claim for Relief- a full accounting of all of the monies, cash or otherwise, that have been collected by or on behalf of the Debtor, by B. Sapra or any other party, since the Filing Date.

12. Subsequent to the filing of the Sanchez Adversary, Pepsi sent a letter to Sanchez and Buhre dated April 3, 2014 (the “Pepsi Termination Letter”), terminating the Buhre Distributor Agreement effective thirty (30 days) from the date of the mailing of the Pepsi Termination Letter. A copy of the Pepsi Termination Letter is annexed hereto at Exhibit “B”.

13. Additionally, prior to the effective date of the Pepsi Termination Letter, and without the permission of the Bankruptcy Court, Pepsi has effectuated a *de facto* termination of the Buhre Distributor Agreement as it has stopped providing the Debtor with the product necessary to service the Buhre Pepsi Route and has, upon information and belief, been operating the Buhre Pepsi Route itself. Thus, Pepsi’s non-sanctioned self-help has rendered the Debtor wholly unable to operate, and therefore unable to generate any monies.

14. Sanchez and the Debtor have agreed that if the Debtor is to have any hope of promulgating an effective plan of reorganization, it is imperative that the Debtor be immediately authorized to retake control of the Buhre Pepsi Route. Retaking control of the Buhre Pepsi Route will enable the Debtor to generate the funds necessary to continue operations and to ultimately put forth a viable plan of reorganization in this case.

15. Sanchez and the Debtor have further agreed that a termination of the Buhre Distributor Agreement would be devastating to the Debtor (and to Sanchez) as it would strip the Debtor of its only significant asset, the Buhre Pepsi Route, and would strip Sanchez of the majority of his collateral¹.

¹ As set forth in detail in the Sanchez Adversary Proceeding, the documents that served to transfer Sanchez’s interest in Buhre to Bruckner inadvertently contained several mistakes (including failing to name Sanchez as the secured party in the relevant security agreement) that are sought to be reformed in the First Claim for Relief in the Sanchez Adversary. While the Sanchez Motion does not seek a determination of this matter, it is important to note that in the event that Sanchez is successful on the First Claim for Relief, which he has every

16. In furtherance of their aforementioned agreement, and their recognition that it is in the best interest of the Debtor, Sanchez and the Debtor's Estate to pursue the injunction against Pepsi that is sought in the Second Claim for Relief in the Sanchez Adversary, Sanchez and the Debtor have joined forces in preparing and filing this Order to Show Cause in order to obtain said injunction.²

DISCUSSION

A. PEPSI SHOULD BE RESTRAINED FROM TERMINATING THE DEBTOR'S AND/OR SANCHEZ'S RIGHTS UNDER THE CERTAIN DISTRIBUTION AGREEMENT BY AND BETWEEN PEPSI, BUHRE AND SANCHEZ

17. The Second Claim for Relief of the Sanchez Adversary seeks the issuance of a preliminary injunction against Pepsi prohibiting Pepsi from actively or constructively terminating the Buhre Distributor Agreement and therefore the Buhre Pepsi Route.

18. Sanchez believes that he will be successful in obtaining the relief sought in the Second Claim for Relief as he will be able to demonstrate irreparable injury and a likelihood of success on the merits.

19. As set forth in the Sanchez Affidavit, the Buhre Pepsi Route is by far the Debtor's most valuable asset, comprising more than 95% of the Debtor's value.

20. Therefore, if Pepsi terminates the Buhre Distributor Agreement, the Debtor will be stripped of its only significant asset, and will be rendered wholly unable to operate.

21. If Pepsi terminates the Buhre Distributor Agreement, and the Debtor is stripped of its only significant asset, Sanchez's security interest will be rendered virtually valueless.

belief that he will be, his security interest in the Buhre Pepsi Route shall be confirmed.

² Sanchez and the Debtor specifically fully reserve all of their respective rights under the First and Third Claims for Relief contained in the Sanchez Adversary.

22. By virtue of the foregoing, both the Debtor and Sanchez will be irreparably harmed if Pepsi terminates the Buhre Distributor Agreement.

23. Additionally, for the reasons fully set forth in the Sanchez Adversary, Sanchez believes that he will be successful in obtaining the relief sought in the First Claim for Relief, being the reformation of certain documents that were executed in conjunction with his sale of Buhre to Bruckner.

24. Therefore, as Sanchez has demonstrated irreparable harm to both himself and the Debtor if the preliminary injunction against Pepsi is not granted, and as Sanchez is able to demonstrate a likelihood of success on the merits, it is respectfully submitted that the Second Claim for Relief of the Sanchez Adversary will ultimately be successful.

25. In order to preserve the *status quo* pending the resolution of the Second Claim for Relief in the Sanchez Adversary, Sanchez and the Debtor are now seeking the issuance of the temporary restraining order set forth in the Sanchez Motion.

26. By virtue of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that in order to preserve the *status quo*, Pepsi should be restrained and enjoined from terminating the Buhre Distributor Agreement, and thereby the Buhre Pepsi Route, pending further order of this Court.

B. PEPSI SHOULD BE DIRECTED TO IMMEDIATELY RESTORE CONTROL AND OPERATION OF THE BUHRE PEPSI ROUTE TO THE DEBTOR UNDER THE NORMAL AND ORDINARY TERMS THEREOF

27. As set forth above and in the Sanchez Affidavit, Pepsi has effectuated a *de facto* termination of the Buhre Distributor Agreement as it has stopped providing the Debtor with the product necessary to service the Buhre Pepsi Route and has, upon information and belief, been operating the Buhre Pepsi Route itself.

28. Moreover, Pepsi has undertaken this *de facto* termination of the Buhre Distributor Agreement without the authorization of the Bankruptcy Court in violation of the automatic stay.

29. Pepsi's non-sanctioned self-help has rendered the Debtor wholly unable to operate, and therefore unable to generate any monies.

30. As a result, Sanchez and the Debtor stand to be irreparably harmed if Pepsi is not directed to restore control of the operation of the Buhre Pepsi Route to the Debtor.

31. Upon information and belief, Pepsi has taken the aforementioned extreme steps, in part, because of issues arising from the Debtor's management of the Buhre Pepsi Route.

32. In an effort to ameliorate such issues, Sanchez and the Debtor have entered into a management agreement (the "Management Agreement" a copy of which is annexed hereto at Exhibit "C"), pursuant to which Sanchez will undertake the day to day management of the Debtor's operations.

33. As Sanchez was the sole owner and operator of Buhre for many years, he is in the unique position of having an intimate knowledge of the Debtor's operations, as well as having long-standing relationships with the Pepsi personnel.

34. Both the Debtor and Sanchez believe that Sanchez's operation of the Debtor pursuant to the Management Agreement will allow for a profitable operation of the Debtor's business, and may serve to alleviate some of the concerns held by Pepsi that led it to the issuance of the Pepsi Termination Letter.

35. By virtue of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that in order to preserve the *status quo*, Pepsi should be directed to restore control and operation of the Buhre Pepsi Route to the Debtor under the normal and ordinary terms thereof.

CONCLUSION

36. Pepsi's unilateral and unsanctioned actions threaten the Debtor's very existence. As such, the Debtor and Sanchez have put aside their differences and united in order to preserve not only the Debtor's primary asset, but the Debtor's very existence.

37. It is respectfully submitted that, for the reasons fully set forth above, the relief requested in Sanchez's Motion should be granted in its entirety.

38. In accordance with Local Bankruptcy Rule 9013-1(a), as all of the relevant applicable law is set forth herein, no separate memorandum of law is being submitted herewith.

39. Except as set forth herein, no prior request for the relief sought in this Application has been made to this or any other court in connection with this chapter 11 case.

WHEREFORE, Sanchez respectfully requests the entry of an order granting the relief sought herein, together with such other, further relief to Sanchez as this Court deems to be just and proper.

Dated: Melville, New York
April 29, 2014

Law Offices of Michael G. Mc Auliffe, Esq.
Counsel to William Sanchez

By: /s/ Michael G. Mc Auliffe
Michael G. Mc Auliffe, Esq.
68 South Service Road, Suite 100
Melville, New York 11747
(631) 465-0044